

## PRUNASIN, THE CYANOGENIC GLYCOSIDE IN *AMELANCHIER ALNIFOLIA*

WALTER MAJAK\*, ROBERT J. BOSE† and DEE A. QUINTON\*

\*Research Station, Agriculture Canada, Kamloops, B.C.; † Vancouver Laboratory, Fisheries and Marine Service, 6640 N.W. Marine Drive, Vancouver, B.C., Canada

(Received 25 November 1977)

**Key Word Index**—*Amelanchier alnifolia*; Rosaceae; Saskatoon serviceberry; cyanogenic glycoside; prunasin.

The proportion of Saskatoon serviceberry (*Amelanchier alnifolia* Nutt.) in the diet of free-ranging mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus hemionus*) varies from low to moderate [1, 2]. Nevertheless, field observations on the plant in the Interior of British Columbia indicate a high degree of browsing [3]. Penned, mature mule deer, however, ingesting 1 kg fr. wt per day *A. alnifolia* went off their feed and developed shortness of breath and lack of muscle control after 7 days on the diet in early March of 1977. The experimental animals expired within 24 hr of the first indication of any sickness, despite an intense effort to save them [4]. *Amelanchier* species are not found in lists of toxic plants [5, 6] and, in fact, *A. alnifolia* is a recommended species for habitat improvement plantings in game management [7, 8].

Samples of the above feed gave a positive HCN test with picrate paper. Cyanogenesis has been reported in eight species of *Amelanchier* [9, 10] including seeds of *A. alnifolia*, but the cyanogenic compound(s) have not been characterized. This present work describes the isolation and identification of prunasin, the cyanogenic glycoside in Saskatoon serviceberry.

The isolate, obtained as 0.15% fr. wt *A. alnifolia* twigs and buds, yielded HCN, glucose and benzaldehyde following treatment with almond emulsin. HCN was identified by the picrate paper test, glucose by the *p*-anisidine phthalate reagent following PC, and benzaldehyde by GLC. The isolate co-chromatographed with an authentic sample of prunasin (courtesy Dr Eric E. Conn) in five solvents. The TMS derivative of our isolate co-chromatographed with the TMS derivative of the reference sample of prunasin using the GLC conditions described by Butterfield *et al.* [11]. These GLC conditions resolved TMS-prunasin from TMS-sambunigrin. Sambunigrin, the diastereoisomer of prunasin, was readily generated from prunasin by treatment with 0.01 N  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  for 1 hr at room temp. [12, 13]. The TLC purified material, twice recrystallized from EtOAc, melted at 144–148° (lit. 148–151° [14] and 147–148° [15]). Dissolved in water the isolate had  $[\alpha]_D^{25} -24.0$ , *c.* 3.07 (lit.  $-30.1$  [14] and  $-27.2$  [15]). The  $^1\text{H}$ -PMR spectrum of the  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  exchanged product was in complete agreement with the assigned structure, giving a 5H multiplet  $\delta 7.57$  (aromatic), a 1H singlet  $\delta 5.89$  (cyano-hydrin), 1H doublet  $\delta 4.59$ , *J* = 6 Hz (anomeric), and the remaining 6H of the hexose moiety.

### EXPERIMENTAL

*Amelanchier alnifolia* (100 g fr. wt, twigs and buds) was collected on 4 April, 1977, near Kamloops, B.C., and homogenized with 500 ml hot EtOH. The filtrate was concd, fractionated on activated coconut charcoal [16] and the fraction containing prunasin was eluted with 21.50%  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6$  in EtOH. This fraction was concd to dryness, redissolved in 15 ml  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , applied to a polyamide-CC<sub>6</sub> (Macheray, Nagel and Co.) column (15 × 3 cm) equilibrated in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and the prunasin fraction was eluted with 200 ml  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . This eluate was concd, applied to 10 Avicel-Si gel 7 (Baker No. 3406) TLC plates (1:1, 20 × 40 cm, 1 mm thick) and chromatographed in EtOAc. The prunasin band (located initially with the picrate paper sandwich test and subsequently by UV absorbance) was eluted with EtOH, concd and crystallized twice in EtOAc.

### REFERENCES

1. Willms, W., McLean, A. and Ritcey, R. (1976) *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* **56**, 531.
2. Kufeld, R. C., Wallmo, O. C. and Feddema, C. (1973) *USDA Forest Service Research Paper RM-111*, Fort Collins, Colorado.
3. Willms, W. personal communication.
4. Quinton, D. A. unpublished results
5. Kingsbury, J. M. (1964) *Poisonous Plants of The United States and Canada*. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
6. Garner, R. J. (1967) *Veterinary Toxicology* 3rd Edn, part 6. Baillière, Tindall & Cassell, London.
7. Martin, C. A., Zim, H. S. and Nelson, A. L. (1951) *American Wildlife and Plants* p. 323. McGraw-Hill, New York.
8. Plummer, A. P., Christensen, D. R. and Monsen, S. B. (1968) *Restoring Big Game Range in Utah*. Publication No. 68-3, Utah Division of Fish and Game, Ephraim, Utah.
9. Gibbs, R. D. (1974) *Chemotaxonomy of Flowering Plants* Vol. III, p. 1621. McGill-Queens University Press, Montreal.
10. Seigler, D. S. (1976) *Econ. Botany* **30**, 395.
11. Butterfield, C. S., Conn, E. E. and Seigler, D. S. (1975) *Phytochemistry* **14**, 993.
12. Secor, J. B., Conn, E. E., Dunn, J. E. and Seigler, D. S. (1976) *Phytochemistry* **15**, 1703.
13. Nahrstedt, A. (1973) *Planta Med.* **24**, 83.
14. Seigler, D. S. (1975) *Phytochemistry* **14**, 9.
15. Takaishi, K. and Kuwajima, H. (1976) *Phytochemistry* **15**, 1984.
16. Bleichert, E. F., Neish, A. C. and Towers, G. H. N. (1966) *Biosynthesis of Aromatic Compounds* (Billek, G. ed.) Chap. 12. Pergamon Press, New York.

† Contribution no. 274.